



## The Global Trade and Innovation Policy Alliance

There are constructive ways for countries to participate in the global economy; and there are destructive ways. The constructive path leads toward broad horizons by promoting innovation, opening borders to robust trade, and building on national strengths. The destructive path fields "innovation mercantilist" policies that use a "compulsion" as opposed to an "attraction" strategy to entice innovators to produce in their nations. The **Global Trade and Innovation Policy Alliance** (GTIPA) exists to promote the virtues of the first path and to help promote policies that can maximize innovation-based economic growth across all nations.

The Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF)—a Washington, DC-based technology and economic policy think tank—has built a **global network of over 40 independent think tanks** that are like- minded in their support for the enclosed <u>GTIPA Shared Statement of Principles</u>, because we believe a chorus of many voices can be more effective than any one by itself in advocating for greater levels of global innovation, trade, integration, and economic development.

Since there are many who ardently support greater global trade liberalization, deplore trade-distorting "innovation mercantilist" practices, and believe governments can and should play important and proactive roles in spurring greater levels of innovation and productivity, the GTIPA website highlights and cross-pollinates partner organizations' research and publications. Broadly, the Global Trade and Innovation Policy Alliance represents a network of world-class think tanks, from every corner of the globe, whose members gain a new channel of communication they can use to disseminate their publications and reports and identify opportunities to collaborate on events, research, and policy analysis in areas like "innovation policy," "trade and innovation," and "digital trade." GTIPA members include:

Austrian Economics Center (Austria) ITIF (U.S.)

Bay Area Council Economic Institute (U.S.)

The Institute for Competitiveness (India)

The Bertelsmann Foundation (North America)

IDEAS (Malaysia)

The Institute for Policy, Advocacy, and Governance (Bangladesh)

C.D. Howe Institute (Canada)

The Center for Global Enterprise (U.S.)

The Institute for Policy, Advocacy, and Governance (Australia)

Center for Social and Economic Research (Poland)

Jordan Strategy Forum (Jordan)

Chung-Hua Inst. for Economic Research (Taiwan)

Korea Institute for Industrial Economics & Trade (Korea)

Competere (Italy)

DigitalES (Spain)

Foundation for Economic & Industrial Research (Greece)

Libertad y Desarrollo (Chile)

Libertad y Progreso (Argentina)

The Lisbon Council (Belgium)

The Free Market Foundation (South Africa)

The Macdonald-Laurier Institute (Canada)

Fundación Eléutera (Honduras)

Paramadina Public Policy Institute (Indonesia)

Fundación IDEA (Mexico)

Philippine Institute for Development Studies (The Philippines)

<u>Fundación Internacional Bases</u> (Argentina)

<u>Geneva Network</u> (UK)

<u>The Prime Institute</u> (Pakistan)

<u>Property Rights Alliance</u> (U.S.)

German Economic Institute (Germany)

The Hayek Institute (Austria)

STUNS (Sweden)

 I-Com (Italy)
 Swedish Agency for Growth Policy Analysis (Sweden)

 ICRIER (India)
 Taiwan Institute for Economic Research (Taiwan)

IMANI Africa (Ghana) <u>TicTac</u> (Colombia

For more information, please contact: Stephen Ezell, Vice President, ITIF; <u>sezell@itif.org</u>; 202.465.2984.