Federal Energy R&D: Advanced Manufacturing

BY COLIN CUNLIFF AND LINH NGUYEN | MAY 2021

The Department of Energy’s (DOE) Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) works to improve the energy efficiency and productivity of U.S. manufacturers by focusing research, development, and demonstration (RD&D) on cross-cutting platform technologies relevant to manufacturing in multiple fields. A key goal is to ensure new energy technologies invented in the United States are also manufactured in the United States. AMO supports RD&D through competitive funding opportunities designed to develop novel manufacturing technologies.1

Figure 1: Energizing America recommends ramping up funding for clean manufacturing RD&D by 150 percent by FY 2026. ²

What’s at Stake
The manufacturing sector accounts for 22 percent of direct U.S. greenhouse gas emissions—and 30 percent when indirect emissions from electricity generation are included. At the same time, U.S. manufacturing employs over 12 million people and plays an outsize role in the health of the U.S. economy because of its impact on trade and innovation and its large multiplier effect on other sectors. Despite its importance, advanced manufacturing accounts for just 6 percent of DOE’s energy RD&D portfolio.³

Accelerated innovation in both industrial processes that use energy and manufactured products used by the energy industry would strengthen U.S. manufacturing and hasten progress toward national economic, workforce, security, and climate goals. Market failures, however, lead to many gaps in the private sector response to the manufacturing and climate innovation imperative, and have already led to significant supply-chain weaknesses, regional hollowing out, and underinvestment in workforce education and training.
AMO helps address such market failures in several ways, with the goal of improving the energy productivity of U.S. manufacturing, reducing lifecycle energy and resource impacts of manufactured goods, and transitioning DOE-supported technologies and practices into U.S. manufacturing. Together, these efforts assist manufacturers in cutting energy costs, which has already been an important driver in the “reshoring” of manufacturing to the United States over the past decade.4

The Clean Energy Manufacturing Initiative (CEMI) institutes are central to AMO’s efforts to accelerate innovation in key technology areas: wide band-gap semiconductor manufacturing, carbon-fiber composite manufacturing, smart manufacturing, chemical process intensification, sustainable manufacturing, and improving cybersecurity. The institutes were originally funded at $14 million per year for five years, with a requirement of at least a 50/50 cost-share from private sector partners. DOE adopted a five-year window for CEMI institutes to transition fully to non-federal funding sources; however, comparable programs in other countries—such as the Fraunhofer Institutes in Germany—receive core institutional funding from the national government on a permanent basis. The Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF) has previously recommended that DOE provide ongoing funding, contingent on continued industry participation, beyond the initial five-year window.5

While AMO has primarily focused on reducing the energy intensity of manufacturing, ITIF and other research organizations have recommended expanding the mandate of AMO to include decarbonization of the industrial sector, which comprises about a quarter of global emissions, including many of the most difficult-to-decarbonize sources.6 In the FY 2020 budget cycle, the Senate directed AMO to develop a series of sector-specific decarbonization roadmaps to guide RD&D activities across DOE.7 While encouraging, such a refocusing should have been accompanied by a significant scale-up in funding, commensurate with the challenge of addressing industrial emissions.

The Energy Act of 2020 authorizes $12 million annually for FY 2021 to FY 2026 for DOE to carry out a technical assistance program that encourages the deployment of combined heat and power (CHP), waste heat to power, and efficient district energy through education and outreach.8 Moreover, the bill includes the Clean Industrial Technology Act, which establishes a new Industrial Emissions Reduction Technology Development program within DOE. The program focuses on the development and evaluation of innovative emissions reduction technologies from non-power industrial sectors, including iron, steel, steel mill products, aluminum, cement, glass, pulp, paper, and industrial ceramics. The bill authorizes $20 million for FY 2021, $80 million for FY 2022, $100 million for FY 2023, $150 million for FY 2024, and $150 million for FY 2025 for DOE to carry out industrial decarbonization demonstration projects.9 It does not specify the relationship between the new program and the rest of DOE’s RD&D programs, and it is unclear whether the new program will be housed within AMO or be external to AMO. The Energy Act does not include authorized funding levels for other AMO programs.

Figure 1 shows historical DOE investment in advanced manufacturing technologies RD&D by subprogram, for FY 2016 through 2021. The orange line shows authorized funding levels from the Energy Act of 2020. The blue line shows recommended funding levels from the Energizing America report (see box 1). Because clean manufacturing makes up a disproportionately small share of the federal energy RD&D budget—the industrial sector accounts for 22 percent of direct
U.S. greenhouse gas emissions but only 6 percent of DOE’s portfolio—Energizing America recommends a fast ramp-up to 150 percent above FY 2020 levels over the next five years.

Box 1: An Innovation Agenda for Advanced Manufacturing Technologies

The Energizing America report co-authored by ITIF and Columbia University’s Center on Global Energy Policy offers several recommendations to accelerate industrial decarbonization. Similarly, ITIF’s March 2021 report “Building Back Cleaner With Industrial Decarbonization Demonstration Projects” makes recommendations to scale up clean manufacturing technologies in heavy industry. And ITIF’s December 2018 report “Manufacturing USA at DOE: Supporting Energy Innovation” makes recommendations to DOE and Congress to maximize the effectiveness of DOE’s clean energy manufacturing innovation institutes programs:

▪ The Biden administration’s infrastructure package should include $5 billion over five years in cost-shared demonstration projects that seek to drastically reduce greenhouse gas emissions from heavy industries such as steel, cement, and chemicals.10

▪ Congress should ramp up investment in industrial decarbonization and clean manufacturing RD&D by 150 percent over the next five years. This increase is needed to rebalance the research portfolio and make up for historical underinvestment. The industrial sector accounts for 22 percent of direct U.S. greenhouse gas emissions (30 percent when emissions from electricity are distributed by end use), but accounts for only 6 percent of DOE’s portfolio.

▪ Congress and DOE should provide opportunities for CEMI institutes to receive federal funding beyond the five-year window, and consider establishing a permanent support program.11

▪ DOE should establish additional CEMI institutes that focus on other high-priority advanced manufacturing technologies as identified in the Quadrennial Technology Review.12

▪ DOE should expand programs in carbon capture technologies to include industrial process applications such as steel and cement, as current programs focus on power plant applications.13

▪ AMO should expand research on uses of zero-carbon fuels and feedstocks (such as hydrogen) in the industrial sector to mitigate direct carbon dioxide emissions from chemical transformations and high-temperature heat.14

Advanced Manufacturing RD&D Subprograms

Unlike other DOE technology programs structured around technical focus areas, AMO subprograms are structured around modes of program implementation: individual research and development (R&D) projects, collaborative R&D consortia, and technology partnerships.15
R&D Projects focuses on high-impact manufacturing technology and process challenges in areas such as advanced materials manufacturing for energy applications, improved energy-efficient process technologies, high-performance computing for manufacturing, additive manufacturing processes, roll-to-roll processing, wide-bandgap power electronics, chemical and thermal process intensification, and structures used in extreme environments.

R&D Consortia brings together manufacturers, research institutions, suppliers, and universities in public-private R&D partnerships, each of which focuses on a specific set of challenges at the nexus of manufacturing and energy. AMO consortia include the Manufacturing Demonstration Facility (MDF), which focuses on advanced manufacturing technologies to reduce energy and production costs, the Carbon Fiber Test Facility (CFTF), six CEMI institutes that focus on clean energy technologies, the Energy-Water Desalination Hub, and the Critical Materials Hub.

Technical Assistance helps small and medium-sized manufacturers improve their energy productivity and reduce waste and water use; demonstrates the viability of improved energy-management approaches; and promotes combined heat and power and waste heat to power technologies to improve efficiencies and lower energy costs.
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